

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/802,163	03/08/2001	Christopher Keith	125525	1129	
52531 7590 02/05/2008 CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			EXAMINER		
			GRAHAM, CLEMENT B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>52.</i> 111,22, 111		·	3692		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/802,163	KEITH, CHRISTOPHER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CLEMENT B. GRAHAM	3692				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29/10	<u>0/07</u> .					
<i>'</i> = <i>'</i>	,—					
• •						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	·					
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 09/802,163 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-20 remained pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Madoff et al (Hereinafter Madoff U.S Publication 2001/0044767)in view of Hirayama US Patent 6, 944, 879.

As per claim 1, Hirayama discloses a method of facilitating trading at a market that includes prices for a side and a contra-side of the market, the method comprising: receiving input at a market participant's computer, wherein the market participant is a trading party participating in the market with other market participants, and the input satisfies a market-related condition, and automatically (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

Hirayama fail to explicitly teach at the market participant's computer, receiving a new contra-side best market price in advance of the other market participants as a result of satisfying the market-related condition and only while the market-related condition is satisfied by the market participant.

However Madoff discloses according to an aspect of the invention, a method of auctioning products over a distributed networked computer system is provided. The method is executed over the system and includes entering an order for a product. The order can specify a price. The price can be a fixed price, a relative price or a market price. The order also specifies a quantity and an exposure time. The process also includes entering a response to an order, the response specifying a price, price improvement, and quantity and matching the order with the response in accordance

with the exposure time specified by the order. (Note abstract and see para 0006-0011 and para 0055-0057 and 062).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Madoff to include at the market participant's computer, receiving a new contra-side best market price in advance of the other market participants as a result of satisfying the market- related condition and only while the market-related condition is satisfied by the market participant taught by Hirayama in order to provide an automated auction system for trading products such as equity and securities.

As per claim 2, Hirayama discloses wherein the satisfying and receiving are performed by a trading process. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 3, Hirayama discloses wherein the input satisfies the market-related condition by providing the best market price for a side of the market. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 4, Hirayama discloses Hirayama discloses a method of facilitating trading at a market that includes prices for a side and a contra-side of the market, the method comprising:

automatically, via a computer, selecting a party to receive notification of a new contraside best market price in advance of other market participants, wherein the selected party is participating in [[a]] the market with the other market participants, automatically, via the computer or another computer, notifying the selected party of the new contraside best market price, and automatically. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

Hirayama fail to explicitly teach via the computer or another computer, measuring a predetermined time from when notification of the new contra-side best market price was sent to the selected party and, after the predetermined time has elapsed, notifying the other market participants of the new contra-side best market price.

However Madoff discloses according to an aspect of the invention, a method of auctioning products over a distributed networked computer system is provided. The

method is executed over the system and includes entering an order for a product. The order can specify a price. The price can be a fixed price, a relative price or a market price. The order also specifies a quantity and an exposure time. The process also includes entering a response to an order, the response specifying a price, price improvement, and quantity and matching the order with the response in accordance with the exposure time specified by the order.(Note abstract and see para 0006-0011 and para 0055-0057 and 062).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Madoff to include via the computer or another computer, measuring a predetermined time from when notification of the new contra-side best market price was sent to the selected party and, after the predetermined time has elapsed, notifying the other market participants of the new contra-side best market price taught by Hirayama in order to provide an automated auction system for trading products such as equity and securities.

As per claim 5, Hirayama discloses, wherein the selected party is a provider of a best market price for a side of the market. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 6, Hirayama discloses further comprising checking, via the computer or another computer, the identity of the selected party before notifying the selected party of the new contra-side best market price. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 7, Hirayama discloses further comprising checking, via the computer or another computer, a recently posted price to determine if the recently posted price is a new contra-side best market price. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 8, Hirayama discloses a system for facilitating trading at a market that includes prices for a side and a contra-side of the market, comprising: a computer having a processing component that is configured to select a party to receive notification of a new contra-side best market price in advance of other market participants, wherein the selected party is a market participant participating in the

market with the other market participants.(see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

Page 5

Hirayama fail to explicitly teach wherein the processing component measures a predetermined time from when notification of a new contra-side best market price is sent to the selected party and, after the predetermined time has elapsed, the processing component notifies the other market participants of the new contra-side best market price.

However Madoff discloses according to an aspect of the invention, a method of auctioning products over a distributed networked computer system is provided. The method is executed over the system and includes entering an order for a product. The order can specify a price. The price can be a fixed price, a relative price or a market price. The order also specifies a quantity and an exposure time. The process also includes entering a response to an order, the response specifying a price, price improvement, and quantity and matching the order with the response in accordance with the exposure time specified by the order.(Note abstract and see para 0006-0011 and para 0055-0057 and 062).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Madoff to wherein the processing component measures a predetermined time from when notification of a new contra-side best market price is sent to the selected party and, after the predetermined time has elapsed, the processing component notifies the other market participants of the new contra-side best market price taught by Hirayama in order to provide an automated auction system for trading products such as equity and securities.

As per claim 9, Hirayama discloses wherein the selected party is a provider of a best market price for a side of the market. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 10, Hirayama discloses wherein the processing component is-notifies the selected party of the new contra-side best market price in advance of the other market participants only while the price provided by the selected party remains the best

Application/Control Number: 09/802,163

Art Unit: 3692

market price for the side of the market. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 11, Hirayama discloses wherein the processing component is further configured to check a recently posted price for the side of the market to determine if the price is better than the price provided by the selected party, and if so, to replace the selected party with the provider of the recently posted price as a newly selected party to receive a new contra-side best market price in advance of the other market participants. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 12, Hirayama discloses wherein the processing component is configured to check a recently posted price to determine if the recently posted price is a new contra-side best market price before notification of the recently posted price is sent to a market participant. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 13, Hirayama discloses a computer-accessible medium having executable instructions stored thereon for facilitating trading, wherein the instructions, cause a computer to:

select a party to receive notification of a new contra-side best market price in advance of other market participants, wherein the selected party is a market participant participating in the market with the other market participants,

notify the selected party of the new contra-side best market price(see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

Hirayama fail to explicitly teach measure a predetermined time from when notification of] the new contra-side best market price is sent to the selected party and after the predetermined time has elapsed, notify the other market participants of the new contra-side best market price.

However Madoff discloses according to an aspect of the invention, a method of auctioning products over a distributed networked computer system is provided. The method is executed over the system and includes entering an order for a product. The order can specify a price. The price can be a fixed price, a relative price or a market price. The order also specifies a quantity and an exposure time. The process also

Application/Control Number: 09/802,163

Art Unit: 3692

includes entering a response to an order, the response specifying a price, price improvement, and quantity and matching the order with the response in accordance with the exposure time specified by the order.(Note abstract and see para 0006-0011 and para 0055-0057 and 062).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Madoff to include measure a predetermined time from when notification of] the new contra-side best market price is sent to the selected party and after the predetermined time has elapsed, notify the other market participants of the new contra-side best market price taught by Hirayama in order to provide an automated auction system for trading products such as equity and securities.

As per claim 14, Hirayama discloses wherein the selected party is a provider of a best market price for a side of the market. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 15, Hirayama discloses wherein the instructions cause the computer to notify the selected party of the new contra-side best market price in advance of the other market participants only while the price provided by the selected party remains the best market price for the side of the market. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 16, Hirayama discloses wherein the instructions cause the computer to check a recently posted price for the side of the market to determine if the price is better than the price provided by the selected party, and if so, to replace the selected party with the provider of the recently posted price as a newly selected party to receive a new contra-side best market price in advance of the other market participants. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 17, Hirayama discloses wherein the instructions further cause the computer to check a recently posted price to determine if the recently posted price is a new contra-side best market price before sending notification of the recently posted price to a market participant. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 18, Hirayama discloses a computer-accessible medium having executable instructions stored thereon for facilitating trading at a market, the market having a best market price for a side of the market and a best market price for a contraside of the market, wherein the instructions cause a computer providing the market to: receive an order having a new price for a side of the market, determine if the new price is better than the best market price for the side of the market, and when the new price is better than the best market price for the side of the market, the instructions further cause the computer to: identify a trading party that is currently providing the best market price for the contraside of the market, and notify the trading party of the new price (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14). Hirayama fail to explicitly teach wherein the notification is sent to the trading party in advance of sending notification of the new price to other market participants in the market such that the trading party is given a first look at the new price before the other market participants.

However Madoff discloses according to an aspect of the invention, a method of auctioning products over a distributed networked computer system is provided. The method is executed over the system and includes entering an order for a product. The order can specify a price. The price can be a fixed price, a relative price or a market price. The order also specifies a quantity and an exposure time. The process also includes entering a response to an order, the response specifying a price, price improvement, and quantity and matching the order with the response in accordance with the exposure time specified by the order.(Note abstract and see para 0006-0011 and para 0055-0057 and 062).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Madoff to include wherein the notification is sent to the trading party in advance of sending notification of the new price to other market participants in the market such that the trading party is given a first look at the new price before the other market participants taught by Hirayama in order to provide an automated auction system for trading products such as equity and securities.

As per claim 19, Hirayama discloses wherein the instructions further cause the computer to measure a predetermined time from when notification of the new price is sent to the trading party and, after the predetermined time has elapsed, to notify the other market participants parties of the new price. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

As per claim 20, Hirayama discloses 20. (New) The computer-accessible medium of claim 18, wherein the instructions further cause the computer to send the notification of the new price to trading party only while the trading party is currently providing the best market price for the contra-side of the market. (see column 5 lines 25-40 and column 6 lines 1-42 and column 13 lines 1-14).

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments files on 10/29/07 have been fully considered but they are most in view of new grounds of rejections.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clement B Graham whose telephone number is 703-305-1874. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached on 571-272-6702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-0040 for regular communications and 703-305-0040 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

CG

Jan 12, 2008

FRANTZY POINVIL

Page 9